

**STATE OF MISSOURI
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY
OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR
CRIMINAL JUSTICE/LAW ENFORCEMENT**

2016 – 2017



**EDWARD BYRNE MEMORIAL
JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT (JAG)
EVALUATION PLAN**

Missouri Department of Public Safety, Office of the Director
Missouri State Highway Patrol, Statistical Analysis Center

Funding for this report was provided by the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Grant Program through the office of Justice Programs. Bureau of Justice Assistance; Award #2015-MU-BX-0451

November 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....	3
-------------------	---

PROGRESS EVALUATION DESIGNS

LAW ENFORCEMENT PROGRAMS.....	4
PROSECUTION AND COURT PROGRAMS.....	10
PREVENTION AND EDUCATION PROGRAMS.....	11
CORRECTIONS AND COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PROGRAMS	13
DRUG TREATMENT PROGRAMS	14
PLANNING, EVALUATION AND TECHNOLOGY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	15
CRIME VICTIM AND WITNESS PROGRAMS.....	17

INTRODUCTION

The Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program provides criminal justice authorities with substantial support in their endeavors to address Missouri's illicit drug and violent crime problems. The U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) administers this program at the federal level and the Missouri Department of Public Safety (DPS) administers it at the state level. In Missouri, the unit that administers this program within the DPS-Office of Director is known as the Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement (CJ/LE) Unit and will be referred to as CJ/LE throughout this report.

Program evaluation is an essential CJ/LE responsibility required by its enabling legislation. To meet this responsibility, BJA has provided states with guidelines, technical training, and support for assessing JAG-funded programs. In Missouri, the DPS has contracted with the Missouri State Highway Patrol (MSHP), Operational Systems Development (OSD) to administer the evaluation component of the CJ/LE Unit. The OSD plays a major role in the development of Missouri's drug and violent crime strategy and the evaluation of the JAG programs

This evaluation plan provides a description of FY17 JAG project evaluation designs developed by OSD and DPS. These evaluations are mostly administrative or process in nature.

LAW ENFORCEMENT PROGRAMS PROGRESS EVALUATION DESIGNS

This purpose area focuses on policing and multi-jurisdictional, multi-agency counter drug enforcement effort, with emphasis placed on collaboration and partnerships within the multi-jurisdictional approach to drug enforcement. Because problems associated with illicit drugs transcend boundaries, partnerships have been forged to combat the illicit drug problems within Missouri. A comprehensive understanding of responsibilities and expectations by task force partners is established with memorandums of understanding/agreements between all partners of multi-jurisdictional enforcement groups

Efficiency evaluation designed for:

1. Jackson County - Drug Abatement Response Team (DART)

Drug Task Force (DTF) Quarterly Status Report Automated Information System designed for:

1. Audrain County - East Central Drug Task Force (ECDTF)
2. Board of Police Commissioners, Kansas City – Missouri Western Interdiction and Narcotics (MoWIN) Task Force
3. Brookfield City - North Missouri (NOMO) Drug Task Force
4. Buchanan County - Buchanan County Drug Strike Force
5. Camden County - Lake Area Narcotics Enforcement Group (LANEG)
6. Cole County – Mid-Missouri Unified Strike Team and Narcotic Group (MUSTANG)
7. Farmington City - Mineral Area Drug Task Force (MADTF)
8. Franklin County – Multi-County Narcotics and Violent Crimes Enforcement Unit (MCNVCEU)
9. Greene County - Combined Ozarks Multi-Jurisdictional Enforcement Team (COMET)
10. Grundy County – Northwest Missouri Interagency Team Response Operation (NITRO)
11. Jackson County Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force
12. Jasper County – Ozarks Drug Enforcement Team (ODET)
13. Jefferson County Municipal Enforcement Group (JCMEG)
14. Lafayette County Narcotics Unit
15. Marion City - Northeast Missouri (NEMO) Narcotics Task Force
16. Morgan County - Mid-Missouri (Mid-MO) Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Force
17. Poplar Bluff – Southeast Missouri (SEMO) Drug Task Force
18. St. Charles County Regional Drug Task Force (SCCRDTF)
19. St. Louis County Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Force
20. Vernon County - Community Narcotics Enforcement Team (CNET)
21. West Plains City - South Central Drug Task Force

JACKSON COUNTY - DRUG ABATEMENT RESPONSE TEAM (DART): This project continues support to DART, a multi-jurisdictional initiative to identify and shut down drug houses and street level narcotics operations in thirteen municipal jurisdictions in Jackson County. DART provides an interagency mechanism through which residents in Jackson County, Missouri, can report illegal narcotics activity within their respective communities. The goal of this program is to eliminate illegal drug activity in the Jackson County community by coordinating and utilizing several sources. Through these efforts, the quality of life in the target area is restored and protected. Suspected drug activity can be anonymously reported to DART members who then communicate the information to law enforcement for investigation. DART also coordinates street level investigations, buy/bust and reverse sting operations, property fire and housing code inspections of suspected drug houses, and notification of drug activity and its consequences to property owners. Property owner seminars, community presentations, and citizen training given on recognition of drug activities are provided by DART members.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The subrecipient will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management and support services employed to implement the project
- Number of citizen reports of drug activity received by DART
- Number of drug houses and drug distribution operations closed
- Number of property owners trained on drug activity recognition
- Number of buy/bust/reverse sting operations coordinated with Patrol officers, community police, and prosecutors
- Number of property fire hazard and building code inspections completed, and number of notifications of drug activity made to property owners
- Number of community organizations given drug awareness presentations or training
- Other major work efforts and activities performed under auspices of this project

The subrecipient is required to submit quarterly Status Reports on this project. Status Reports should restate the goals and objectives from the approved subaward and provide information regarding the activity that occurred as it relates to each objective during the reporting period. The activity may include successes and/or obstacles. Then, the subrecipient should discuss their status in completing the proposed project within the project period and provide any other information regarding activities that occurred during the reporting period, including facts and statistics as relevant.

Drug Task Force (DTF) Quarterly Status Report:

Organization						
The following question pertains to the current reporting period.						
Number of agencies within the task force's direct service area during the reporting period	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>		
	City	County	State	Federal		
The following question pertains to the current reporting period and/or continuously from previous reporting periods of the current grant.						
Number of agencies signing a MOU with the task force	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>		
	City	County	State	Federal		
The following question pertains to the current reporting period and/or continuously from previous reporting periods of the current grant.						
Number of agencies providing resources (personnel, currency, and/or direct equipment) to the task force	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>		
	City	County	State	Federal		
The following question pertains to the current reporting period and/or continuously from previous reporting periods of the current grant.						
Number of agencies not providing resources (personnel, currency, and/or direct equipment) to the task force	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>		
	City	County	State	Federal		

Employees						
Identify the number of employees directly assigned to the task force during the reporting period. Count each individual only once.						
And then of the identified employees, identify the number of employees detached to a federal task force.						
Row	Full-Time Sworn	Full-Time Non-Sworn	Part-Time Sworn	Part-Time Non-Sworn	Detached to Federal Task Force	
Assigned from National Guard	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
Funded by COPS	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
Funded by DPS Narcotic Grants	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
Funded by HIDTA	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
Loaned to Task Force	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
Funded by Other Source	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	

Employee Breakdown - Funded by Other Source	
If you identified "funded by other source" in the above section, describe the source of funding relative to each identified position.	
<div><div></div></div>	

Case Activity	
A case is initiated when a suspect is identified resulting in an investigative case file. An investigation may include more than one suspect, and in this event, each identified suspect shall constitute a case.	
Do not count tips and intelligence information for which follow-up law enforcement action was not initiated.	
Number of new cases initiated during the reporting period	<input type="text"/>
Number of assists in cases initiated by other law enforcement agencies during the reporting period	<input type="text"/>

Arrest Activity	
An arrest is considered drug-related if the case was initiated as a result of a drug investigation.	
Non-drug related arrests can be provided in the "Narrative" section at the bottom of the report.	
Number of individuals arrested for drug-related charges during reporting period	<input type="text"/>
Of the number of drug-related arrests, how many were for federal indictment?	<input type="text"/>
Of the number of drug-related arrests, how many were for state charges?	<input type="text"/>
Of the number of drug-related arrests, how many were for ordinance violations?	<input type="text"/>

Drug Buys, Reverse Drug Buys, Free Samples, and Informant Expenses

Number of drug buys made during the reporting period

Amount spent on drug buys during the reporting period \$0.00

Number of reverse drug buys made during the reporting period

Amount of cash received/seized from reverse drug buys during the reporting period \$0.00

Number of free samples received during the reporting period

Dollar value (estimate) of drugs received from free samples during the reporting period, based on local street value at time received \$0.00

Amount expended on informants during the reporting period \$0.00

Drug Buys and Free Sample Breakdown

Based on the numbers identified above in the "Drug Buys, Reverse Drug Buys, Free Samples, and Informant Expenses" section, identify the quantity of drugs acquired through drug buys and free samples during the reporting period.

Amounts should be reported in the measurement identified for each drug type and based on the suspected drug type; do not wait for scientific lab examination results.

Quantities can be entered with up to 4 decimal places.

Cocaine-Crack (grams) 0

Cocaine-Powder (grams) 0

Ecstasy/MDMA (grams) 0

Hallucinogens - LSD (doses) 0

Hallucinogens - PCP (grams) 0

Heroin (grams) 0

Marijuana (grams) 0

Methamphetamine (grams) 0

Prescription Pills (doses/pills) 0

Pseudoephedrine/Ephedrine (grams) 0

Synthetics (grams) 0

Other (grams) 0

List the type(s) of prescription pills and its relative quantity.

List the type(s) of "other" drug and its relative quantity.

Search Warrants

Number of search warrants applied for during the reporting period

Number of search warrants served during the reporting period

Of the search warrants served during the reporting period, how many resulted in drug and/or paraphernalia seizures?

Number of consent searches conducted during the reporting period

Marijuana Eradicated

Number of indoor cultivated plants eradicated during the reporting period

Number of outdoor cultivated plants eradicated during the reporting period

Methamphetamine Labs

A meth lab includes lab seizures, chemical/glassware/equipment seizures, and dumpsites and is supported by the completion of the "National Clandestine Laboratory Seizure Report" (DEA Form 612 formerly EPIC Form 143). Labs not supported by the completion of this form should not be included in the count.

Number of meth labs seized during the reporting period

Drug Seizure Value

Identify the value of drug seized, whether through interdiction (parcel or vehicle) methods or through non-interdiction methods, as a result of search warrants, consent searches, and arrests. Do not include seizures from drug buys and free samples.

Estimated dollar value of drugs seized through interdiction methods, based on local street cost, during the reporting period

\$0.00

Estimated dollar value of drugs seized through non-interdiction methods, based on local street cost, during the reporting period

\$0.00

Drug Seizure Breakdown

Based on the amounts identified above in the "Drug Seizure Value" section, identify the types of drugs seized, whether through interdiction (parcel or vehicle) methods or non-interdiction methods, as a result of search warrants, consent searches, and arrests. Do not include seizures from drug buys, reverse drug buys, and free samples.

Report amounts in the identified measurement based on the gross amount at the time of seizure.

Quantities can be entered with up to 4 decimal places.

Row	Interdiction Seizure Method	Non-Interdiction Seizure Method
Cocaine-Crack (grams)	0	0
Cocaine-Powder (grams)	0	0
Ecstasy/MDMA (grams)	0	0
Hallucinogens - LSD (doses)	0	0
Hallucinogens - PCP (grams)	0	0
Heroin (grams)	0	0
Marijuana (grams)	0	0
Methamphetamine (grams)	0	0
Prescription Pills (doses/pills)	0	0
Psilocybin (grams)	0	0
Pseudoephedrine/Ephedrine (grams)	0	0
Synthetics (grams)	0	0
Other (grams)	0	0

Drug Seizure Breakdown - Other Drugs

If you identified "other" drugs seized as a result of a search warrant, consent search, or arrest, list the drug type(s) and its relative quantity.

Education/Training Programs

Identify the number of drug-related education/training programs provided during the reporting period and the number of attendees to which such education/training programs were provided. If attendance at the program was not recorded and the number of attendees is unknown, provide an estimate.

Row	Number Provided	Number of Attendees
Businesses		
General Public/Civic Organizations		
Law Enforcement Agencies		
Schools		
Other		

Community Involvement

Community prevention programs include, but are not limited to, coalitions, prescription take-back events, neighborhood watch programs, and town hall meetings.

If applicable, describe the community prevention programs(s) for which the task force was involved during the reporting period and the level of involvement by the task force. The level of involvement should be based on whether the task force coordinated the program, assisted in the coordination of the program, or merely participated in the already coordinated program.

Was the task force involved in any community prevention programs during the reporting period?

☐ Yes ☐ No

If No, enter N/A. If Yes, identify the community prevention program(s) and describe the level of involvement.

Rehabilitation programs include, but are not limited to, drug court and treatment programs.

Was the task force involved in any rehabilitation programs during the reporting period?

If No, enter N/A. If Yes, identify the rehabilitation program(s) and describe the level of involvement.

Describe any work activities and/or areas of interest to the task force project during the reporting period not reported in the above sections and/or provide any details necessary to explain responses to information reported in the above sections. In addition, if the task force project faced any obstacles during the reporting period that affected the activity of the task force, please provide those details.


Font Family


Font Size


B


I


U























































































































</

PROSECUTION AND COURT PROGRAMS PROGRESS EVALUATION DESIGNS

This purpose area provides financial assistance to implement and enhance the response of criminal justice agencies to criminal activity. Training of law enforcement, prosecution, and judicial staff on handling or processing criminal cases as well as establishment of communication between involved criminal justice agencies leads to effective problem resolution.

Efficiency evaluations designed for:

NO PROGRAMS FOR THIS FISCAL YEAR

PREVENTION AND EDUCATION PROGRAMS PROGRESS EVALUATION DESIGNS

This purpose area provides supplies and reference materials to Missouri law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency response officials to help them promote safety and educate officers and the public on issues that affect themselves and the environment.

Efficiency evaluation designed for:

- Missouri Department of Natural Resources - Clandestine Drug Laboratory Collection Station

MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES - CLANDESTINE DRUG LABORATORY COLLECTION STATION: This continuing project supports the Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Services Program in responding to methamphetamine clandestine laboratory clean-up requests. The goal of this project is to increase safety and reduce risk of injury to the staff, the public, and the environment exposed to clandestine laboratories. This goal will be achieved by completing four objectives: 1) Provide proper supplies and reference material to Missouri law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency response officials; 2) Provide supplies for processing and disposal of clandestine drug lab materials to clandestine drug laboratory collection stations; 3) Provide on-site responses to clandestine methamphetamine laboratory incidents, when requested by law enforcement, fire station, and other emergency officials; and 4) Provide emergency response, hazardous materials, and health and safety training to Missouri law enforcement, fire, service, and other emergency response officials to help them safely enter and dismantle clandestine drug lab incidents.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The subrecipient will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management, training, and services employed to support the project
- Amount and type of supplies purchased specifically to reduce methamphetamine laboratory related injuries of emergency responders
- Number of injury and non-injury related laboratory incidents responded to
- Amount and type of supplies purchased specifically for processing and disposal of clandestine drug laboratory materials from clandestine drug laboratory collection stations
- Number of requests for on-site assistance to clandestine methamphetamine laboratory incidents by type of requestor (law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency response officials)
- Number of on-site responses to requests for assistance to clandestine methamphetamine laboratory incidents, by type of requestor (law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency response officials)
- Other major work effort and activities performed under auspices of the project

The subrecipient is required to submit quarterly Status Reports on this project. Status Reports should restate the goals and objectives from the approved subaward and provide information regarding the activity that occurred as it relates to each objective during the reporting period. The activity may include successes and/or obstacles. Then, the subrecipient should discuss their status in completing the proposed project within the project period and provide any other information regarding activities that occurred during the reporting period, including facts and statistics as relevant.

CORRECTIONS AND COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PROGRAM PROGRESS EVALUATION DESIGNS

This purpose area aims to supervise offenders and prepare them for return to their communities. Correctional agencies give inmates opportunities to develop life and work skills that will help their return be successful and are using treatment, work, education, and mental health programs to build these skills. Community based corrections is a criminal corrections option that provides an offender with sanctions, supervision, and treatment in a community setting instead of prison

Efficiency evaluation designed for:

NO PROGRAMS FOR THIS FISCAL YEAR

DRUG TREATMENT PROGRAMS PROGRESS EVALUATION DESIGNS

This purpose area is intended to identify and meet the treatment needs of adult and juvenile drug dependent and alcohol-dependent offenders. Such programs can include behavioral therapy such as counseling, and cognitive therapy, or psychotherapy, medication, or a combination of both and are intended to provide intensive assistance to those individuals that are battling a substance abuse addiction.

Efficiency evaluation designed for:

NO PROGRAMS FOR THIS FISCAL YEAR

**PLANNING, EVALUATION, AND TECHNOLOGY IMPROVEMENT
PROGRAMS
PROGRESS EVALUATION DESIGNS**

State and local criminal justice agencies must be automated if their reporting to the State Central Repository is to be timely, accurate, and complete. When local agencies are automated and linked to the State Repository, they are able to search federal criminal files, state and federal wanted files, and other databases. Criminal justice databases are important tools when fighting crime and protecting citizens.

In addition, the acquisition of technology is vital for departments to operate efficiently and effectively.

Efficiency evaluation designed for:

- Missouri State Highway Patrol - Administrative Data Analysis & Problem (ADAP) Identification

MO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL (MSHP) - ADMINISTRATIVE DATA ANALYSIS & PROBLEM (ADAP) IDENTIFICATION PROGRAM: This continuing project involves establishing a series of policies, procedures, systems, and reporting recommendations. The State of Missouri will effectively manage the JAG Program by analyzing drug and violent crime environments in the State; assessing effectiveness of existing programs; and offering data and interpretive analysis support for development of new programs. The MSHP, coordinating their activities with DPS's CJ/LE Unit staff, will complete the following project goals: 1) Provide base-line information to properly assess Missouri's illicit drug and violent crime problems; and 2) Support successful administration of Missouri's JAG Program by providing needed research, evaluation, and data processing services.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The subrecipient will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management, training, and support services employed to implement the project.
- Assistance provided in successful development and/or modification of Missouri's drug and violent crime strategy required under the JAG Program including, but not limited to, conducting a statewide illicit drug and violent crime problem analysis and developing an annual report
- Number of research services provided to DPS, Missouri criminal justice authorities, and other public officials
- Assistance provided in development and implementation of evaluation criteria and information systems for programs supported under the JAG Program. Publication of a report describing all approved evaluation designs
- Completion of management, statistical, and project monitoring reports based on multi-jurisdictional drug task force, crime lab, and cybercrime multi-jurisdictional task force quarterly progress reports.
- Other major work effort and activities performed under auspices of this project

The subrecipient is required to submit quarterly Status Reports on this project. Status Reports should restate the goals and objectives from the approved subaward and provide information regarding the activity that occurred as it relates to each objective during the reporting period. The activity may include successes and/or obstacles. Then, the subrecipient should discuss their status in completing the proposed project within the project period and provide any other information regarding activities that occurred during the reporting period, including facts and statistics as relevant.

CRIME VICTIM AND WITNESS PROGRAMS PROGRESS EVALUATION DESIGNS

This purpose area is designed to provide crime victims, witnesses, and jurors with services while involved in the criminal justice system. Victim programs are geared to help deal with feelings of confusion, frustration, fear, and anger and explain victims or witnesses of their rights. Other activities include advocacy for victims who encounter difficulty while accessing services or who believe their statutory or constitutional rights were denied. Victim programs also provide notification and assistance to victims whose offenders have parole potential.

Efficiency evaluation designed for:

- Missouri Office of Prosecution Services - Witness Protection Program

MISSOURI OFFICE OF PROSECUTION SERVICES, WITNESS PROTECTION PROGRAM

MO STATE: This continuing project involves providing for the security of witnesses, potential witnesses and their immediate families in criminal proceedings instituted or investigations pending against a person alleged to have engaged in a violation of state law. Providing for witnesses may include provision of housing facilities and for the health, safety and welfare of such witnesses and their immediate families, if testimony by such a witness might subject the witness or a member of his/her immediate family to danger of bodily injury, and may continue so long as such danger exists.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The subrecipient will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Number of outreach efforts made to prosecutors statewide to publicize the availability of the project and its ability to fulfill obligations set forth by Section 491.640 RSMo
- Over project management of the Prosecutors Coordinators Training Council in reviewing applications for assistance and make funding determinations
- Number of approved and denied applications for assistance
- Quality of protection provided to witnesses, potential witnesses, and their immediate families
- Outcome in prosecutorial efforts of criminal proceedings involving witnesses
- Other major work effort and activities performed under auspices of the project

The subrecipient is required to submit quarterly Status Reports on this project. Status Reports should restate the goals and objectives from the approved subaward and provide information regarding the activity that occurred as it relates to each objective during the reporting period. The activity may include successes and/or obstacles. Then, the subrecipient should discuss their status in completing the proposed project within the project period and provide any other information regarding activities that occurred during the reporting period, including facts and statistics as relevant.